

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,772	06/28/2006	Gerard Queveau	20233-004US1 RVL/BR 61509	1481
26221 7590 09/24/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 102	22		PATEL, KIRAN B	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/564,772	QUEVEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kiran B. Patel	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.2 and 11-24 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.2 and 11-24 are subject to restriction	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	·					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/564,772

Art Unit: 3612

Detailed Action

Election and Restriction (9/11/07)

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required because this application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct inventions. Applicant is requested to elect a single invention and associated figures for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable:

Invention A - claims 1-2, 11-12, directed towards a vehicle wherein the retractable roof further comprises a rear roof element including a rear window extending transverse the longitudinal axis of the vehicle, said rear roof element being free to move with respect to the vehicle structure, and the first and second parts of the roof being arranged in front of said rear roof element, when the roof is closed.

Invention B - claims 13-19, directed towards a vehicle wherein the second roof part comprises a rear roof element including a rear window which extends transverse the longitudinal axis of the vehicle, said rear roof element being free to move with respect to the vehicle structure, and the first front part of the roof being arranged in front of said rear roof element when the roof is closed.

Invention C - claims 20-24, directed towards a method.

Restriction for examination purpose as indicated above is proper because each of these above inventions having various/different limitations are distinct as outlined above and therefore acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required.

Art Unit: 3612

- 2. <u>As best understood</u>, Examiner has identified the Inventions (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each invention (A, B., C, etc.) to include respective claims and figures to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. This application, <u>as best understood</u>, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 1-3, 5-6 Species B - directed towards Fig. 4.

- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed species and associated figures for prosecution on the merits</u> to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. <u>As best understood</u>, Examiner has identified the Species (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each species (A, B, C, etc.) to include associated/respective figures/limitations to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species and associated figures that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable only on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all

Application/Control Number: 10/564,772

Art Unit: 3612

the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP [] 809.02(a).

Page 4

- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,772

Art Unit: 3612

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E.

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

September 11, 2007